

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,904	Applicant(s) SHIRVAN ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) San-ming Hui. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Ari Zytcer, attorney of record. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner thanks Mr. Zytcer for reminding the examiner to reissue the office action since there are claims in the application were not examined on the merit in the previous office action mailed November 20, 2007.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/San-ming Hui/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required